Lucrările Seminarului Geografic Dimitrie Cantemir
Vol. 42, October 2016, pp. 49-58
http://dx.doi.org/10.15551/lsgdc.v42i0.05

Interactions between human settlements and geomorphology in Huși Depression, NE Romania

Elena Chirilă-Acatrinei[1]

[1]Faculty of History and Geography, "Ştefan cel Mare" University Suceava, Romania

To cite this article: Chirilă-Acatrinei, E. (2016). Interactions between human settlements and geomorphology in Huși Depression, NE Romania. *Lucrările Seminarului Geografic Dimitrie Cantemir*, Vol. 42, pp. 49-58. DOI: 10.15551/lsgdc.v42i0.05

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.15551/lsgdc.v42i0.05

ISSN: 1222-989X www.seminarcantemir.uaic.ro
© Editura Universității Alexandru Ioan Cuza din Iași, România.
This is an open access article under the CC BY.

INTERACTIONS BETWEEN HUMAN SETTLEMENTS AND GEOMORPHOLOGY IN HUSI DEPRESSION, NE ROMANIA

Elena Chirilă-Acatrinei[1]

Abstract. The present paper analyses the way in which terrain morphology influences the typology and distribution of human settlements in the depression of Huşi, landforms being one of the main factors conditioning the emplacement and development of localities. Also, the habitable space of the depression has been analyzed by using some mathematic and statistical indicators computed for the administrative units from this geographic area. Such are the indices regarding settlement dispersion, centrality and concentration. Thus in the study area can be observed an unequal dispersion of the settlements, with large surfaces lacking habitation in the central part of the depression, a large percentage of small localities with a subsistence agricultural economy and a reduced degree of urbanization. The only town is Huşi, which offers a low economic dynamism to the rural settlements in the depression.

Keywords: Huşi Depression, geomorphology, human settlements, dispersion, centrality

Introduction

The analysis of the physical-geographical conditions in the depression of Huşi in relation to the influence they exert on the territorial repartition of human settlements points out to the complexity of the interrelations between humans and the environmental components. The development of human settlements depends both on the social, economic and historical context and on the peculiarities of the environment that have favored or restricted the agricultural utilization of terrains, access to water resources and building materials, the development of communication networks and implicitly the expansion of settlements.

The first viable sources of information regarding the settlements in our study area date from the end of the 19th century and the first part of the 20th century, these being a series of statistical data and cartographic materials used both by historians and geographers in several papers. For the inter-war and the contemporaneous periods, the information is much more numerous, making up valuable references for the research of human settlements from Moldova.

At the beginning of the 20th century a special interest was given to rural or village geography, theoretically established by Mihăilescu V., who publishes numerous papers in this period, also conducting the first morphological typology of the Romanian villages (1926). Tufescu V. studies the semi-urban settlements (boroughs) and their economic importance

[1] "Ştefan cel Mare" University Suceava, Faculty of History and Geography, emil_lena@yahoo.com

(1942) as well as the distribution of the un-subservient peasants' villages (1937). Other papers approaching regional aspects are those of Năstase Gh. (1946) regarding the Prut Valley and Gugiuman I., on the Elan-Horincea region (1938), Lohan valley (1942) and later Huşi Depression (1959).

Aspects regarding the geomorphology of the depression of Huşi are found in different papers of local, larger spatial coverage or national scale. Most of the papers have approached geological aspects of the Moldavian tableland (David, 1921, 1922), the premature ageing of the floodplains (Filipescu, 1950), landforms and present geomorphological processes in the Moldavian Tableland (Bacăuanu et al., 1980). A series of studies referring to slope processes and cuesta landforms in the Bârlad Basin have been conducted by Gugiuman (1932, 1938, 1942, 1959) and Ioniţă (1985, 1997, 2000). Among the geomorphological studies approaching larger regions that include the area of Huşi Depression are those of Martiniuc (1954, 1955), Hârjoabă (1968, 1977), Gugiuman et al. (1973), Obreja (1958), Paraschiv (1964), Donisă et al. (1984) and Rădoane et al. (1996, 2008a, 2008b).

Study area

Huşi Depression is located in the south-eastern part of the Central Moldavian Plateau, subunit of the Bârlad Plateau, limited on the west by Culmea Lohanului, that is 100-200 m higher than the rest of the territory, on the north by Cuesta Pietrăriei, situated on the right of the Moşna river, on the south by Drăslăvăţ Cuesta and on the east by the Prut river. Between these limits the depression of Huşi has an area of approximately 300 km^2 and is occupied by the town of Huşi and 18 other villages, belonging to 5 communal territories: Huşi, Arsura, Duda-Epureni, Stănileşti and Drânceni (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Geographical position and administrative-territorial organization of the depression of Huşi

Results and discussions

The overall aspect of the Huşi Depression is that of an amphitheater opened to the SE. The western part of the depression is higher, the hills here frequently exceeding the altitude of 300 m. The central part of the basin presents a hilly relief, which descends to the Prut River. On the right side of the Prut valley there are some more developed terrace levels. The geologic deposits are represented by Sarmatian limestones and sandstones, which contribute to the formation of a structural relief represented mainly by plateaus and subsequent asymmetric valleys (Figure 2).



Figure 2: Geomorphological map of Huşi depression

The relation between geographical conditions and rural settlements can be approached both at local scale, separating site morphological types, and at regional scale, separating "series" types of settlements (Băcăuanu et al., 1980) (Figure 3).

In the class of site morphological types enter the *terrace settlements* (Drânceni, Râseşti, Pogăneşti) with favorable development conditions due to the flat or low declivity landforms, the terrain favorability for agricultural use and the facility in what regards the water supply.

River basin source settlements, situated in the basins of tributaries, have limited development conditions due to landslides and the large distance from the modern communication network, despite benefiting from a sheltering micro-climate, aquifers and springs. This is the case of Arsura, Fundătura, Păhneşti and Ghermăneşti villages, whose surfaces have suffered an advanced decline during the last decades. The basin source settlements from the cuesta areas are characteristic for the Moldavian rural network morphology. The genesis of this site type is an archaic one, which regarded mainly the defensive position and protection against winds. Cuestas represent repulsive areas for settlements position, being strongly affected by landslides, which prevent emplacing households. They are fragmented by numerous secondary tributaries which fragment the cuesta front, and thus villages have settled either in the reception basins of these tributaries or on the small alluvial fans. The basin source settlements have generally occupied the semi-circular landslide basins of the "hârtop" type (Ioniță et al, 2014). The occurrence to surface of some groundwater from the landslide deposits (water coming from rainfall or springs), together with the terrain instability and un-uniformity, the micro-climatic shelter and the security conditions have represented attraction elements for establishing settlements in these positions. Their isolation has later become an impediment in the economic development from the modern and contemporaneous periods. Even the relative close position to the well-drawn development axes or to larger towns hasn't influenced the economic dynamism of these settlements. Conversely, they represent repulsive rural areas with a subsistence dominant agricultural economy. An example in this sense is the rural area from the Iaşi Cuesta. An urban variant of this situation is the town of Huşi, positioned in a small erosion depression which ensures a shelter micro-climate.

The interfluve settlements (Valea Grecului, Chersăcosu) are more recent in the depression and are met in the central part of the depression. This settlement type gives the rural localities an optimum declivity, yet from the relational viewpoint, in the modern and contemporaneous period it becomes an obstacle for the connection to the communication network. Another impediment for the rural settlements from this category is represented by the lack or insufficiency of water sources. At the present, the site becomes stale, and implies sometimes insuperable difficulties in the insertion in the communication network (Ţurcănaşu, 2006).

The floodplain settlements are found in the in the major floodplain of Prut, especially on levees (Săratu), are partially floodable, are affected by water excess and are exposed to negative climatic phenomena such as frequent fogs or cold air invasions.

The glacis and alluvial fan site is a common one in the rural settlement network of Moldova. Besides a certain protection against floods, this category offers favorable conditions in what regards declivity, water supply, the proximity of forests and others. These settlements are positioned on alluvial fans of secondary tributaries and on proluvio-coluvial glacises developed at the contact between the floodplain and the right slope of Prut valley (Pogăneşti, Stănileşti).

At regional scale, by positioning villages in the most favorable local conditions result *alignments of localities*, which point out the major geographical conditions for development.

The settlement series represents the frame in which enters each morphological site type (Băcăuanu et al., 1980).



Figure 3: Settlements type in Huşi Depression

In the depression of Huşi can be observed first of all *settlements alignments of geographical contact*, which benefit from the advantages of the contact between two geographical subunits. The contact position makes use of complementary resources available from the geographical subunits different as landforms, climate, vegetation, soil cover etc. Most of times in Moldova, this category is associated with the *intersection position*. Huşi is developed at the contact between the higher forested and the lower, silvo-steppic geographical sub-units of the Moldavian Tableland (the Bârlad Tableland and the Hilly depression of Elan-Horincea). It also has an intersection position, favored by the presence close to the town of some saddle landforms and of major rivers (Prut), which enlarge its hinterland.

In the depression, some localities form *alignments emplaced in cuesta areas* with landslides, which may also be associated with the position of geographical contact. These are generally formed of old villages with a dispersed structure. In some cases (Duda, Novaci, Arsura) can be seen a densification of the households from place to place, according to family

groups or stages in the formation of some neighborhoods, the dispersed structure having a *poli-nuclear* character (Gugiuman, 1959). These localities can be considered as also forming *crest alignments*, their position marking spring lines, deforestation phases etc.

The valley alignments of settlements are represented in our case by the alignment along Prut valley. The settlements from this area belong chronologically to the Middle Ages. Here have occurred quite early some of some of the nuclei of dense population from Moldova, which are in fact inserted in the northern periphery of the medieval state nucleus. The morphology of the alignment hasn't complicated in the modern period, on one side due to the frontier situation and on the other as a consequence of the terrain morphology and the inexistence of well-developed terraces (Ţurcănaşu, 2006).

The crest alignments surround some hills, with the villages emplaced on secondary tributaries. They had favorable development conditions in Fălciu Hills, and they are also associated to contact alignments, having in view the fact that the respective rural alignments are situated in the proximity of the contact between subunits of the Moldavian Tableland.

The characteristics of the habitable space can also be analyzed with the help of mathematico-statistical indicators computed at the level of the administrative units inside the depression basin. The interpretation of these indices has mostly a theoretical aspect and the eventual comparisons with existing situations can lead to uncovering similitudes between the recommendations given from their study and the *de facto* situation (Iaţu, 1998). These indicators that regard the dispersion, centrality and concentration of settlements express the relative position of each locality in the settlement network of the depression and the degree of concentration and dispersion of the component villages of the townships. At the same time, these indices allow for the correlation between human settlements and the components of the geographic landscape.

The dispersion index indicates the degree of dispersion of the localities, and has been computed according to the formula elaborated by the French geographer Albert Demangeon:

$$\mathrm{Id} = \frac{(N - N')n}{N}$$

where Id is the dispersion index, N= total number of inhabitants, N' = number of inhabitants from the village acting as township residence, and n = number of villages minus the township residence.

In the case when the township is composed of a single locality, the dispersion index will take the zero value (the case of Huşi). As the index values tend towards zero, the higher the concentration degree of the respective township. The *Demangeon* dispersion index takes higher values as the number of localities inside a township is higher. Thus, for the townships inside the depression of Huşi the higher values have been obtained for Drânceni (4.52) and Stănileşti (3.03). The higher dispersion in these cases is due to the higher number of localities inside these administrative units and to the fact that the population of the component villages is higher than that of the administrative center (table 1).

Another attribute of the settlement system is *centrality*, which is given by the preferential orientation of the material, human and informational fluxes from a certain territory towards a polarizing center. The centrality index is defined as being the mean distance of a locality in relation to the other localities inside a territory (township, geographical unit etc.).

Table 1. Dispersion (Id), centrality (Ice) and concentration (Ico) indices for the township residences from Huşi depression

Locality	Id	Ice	Ico
Huşi	0	15	0 .25
Arsura	1 .75	19	0 .08
Duda-Epureni	2 .01	17	0 .11
Drânceni	4 .52	15	0 .10
Stănileşti	3 .03	18	0 .09

The index has been computed as the arithmetic mean of the distances between the township center and each component locality:

$$\text{Ice} = \frac{d1 + d2 + \cdots d\text{n}}{n}$$

where d_1, d_2,….d_n = are the distances between the administrative center and the other localities of the township, and n = the number of distances between the component localities.

According to the calculations of the centrality index for the township residences from the Huşi Depression, it can be seen that Huşi has the lowest value of the index (Ice = 0.15), although it does not have a central position. This situation is due to the fact that the town of Huşi benefits from a certain disposition of the road network that connect it with the other villages which make it the most important locality in the depression. A similar value of the index has been obtained for Drânceni, which takes advantage of its position on the Prut valley at the 24 A national road. The highest values of the centrality index have been obtained for the township residences Arsura (Ice = 19) and Stănileşti (Ice = 18), which although have a peripheral position inside the depression, are situated at small distances to the other localities from the administrative territories they are part of (table 1).

The concentration index is used to measure the way the localities are positioned inside the territory in comparison to a homogeneous model, in which they would be uniformly spread on the surface of the administrative unit, at equal distances. The concentration index has been calculated as the ratio between the theoretical and the real distances between the localities acting as township residences. The formula is:

$$Ico = \frac{Dr}{Dt} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{T}{N}}}{d1 + d2 + \cdots d\text{n}}$$

where Ico = the concentration index, Dt = the theoretical distance between the localities, Dr = the real distance between the localities, T= township surface, N = the number of localities inside the township, n = total sum of distances between township component localities.

As the values of the concentration index are lower, the localities are positioned less favorable, with large distances between them. This is the case of Arsura and Stănileşti, situated at the periphery of the depression, and also of Drânceni and Duda-Epureni. A more favorable position is that of Huşi, with a value of the concentration index of 0.25.

Conclusions

The configuration of the relief inside the depression of Huşi is due to the erosion activity of Prut River and its tributaries, which have evolved regressively towards west, forming the present valleys that have their sources under the high crest that forms the western limit of the depression. In this context, the formation and evolution of the settlements inside the depression is closely related to the genetic landform types, which make up the support on which they evolved in time. Thus, at the source area of the main rivers that drain the depression, in favorable shelter and defense conditions, have formed the first and the more numerous settlements, that have evolved till present (Huşi, Arsura, Fundătura, Pâhneşti, Duda and Epureni).

Another alignment of settlements is positioned at the contact between the right slope of Prut valley and its floodplain (Ghermăneşti, Drânceni, Răşeşti, Chersăcosu, Pogăneşti and Gura Văii). These localities have made use of the conditions including low declivities and drained terrains from the colluvial glacises neighboring the 50-100 m relative altitude terrace. In the central-eastern part of Huşi Depression, on the valleys of Şopârleni, Ruginos and Grecului are situated the villages of Sopârleni, Mihail Kogălniceanu and Valea Grecului. Şopârleni is situated at the terminal part of an interfluve summit, while Mihail Kogălniceanu and Valea Grecului villages have evolved at the base of some cuesta slopes of the Ruginosu, respectively Grecul valleys. The town of Huşi has extended during time at an accelerated rate, occupying at the beginning the medium altitude hills and the higher hills from west and south, and later the southern parts of the lower rolling hills, the base of the cuesta slope from the right side of Huşi river and its floodplain near the confluence with Recea brook.

The statistical indices regarding the dispersion, centrality and concentration of the settlement system allow for making correlations between human settlements and the relief of the depression, which condition the access between localities, and implicitly the supply possibilities, ensuring diverse services, circulation of the labor force etc. Thus, in the depression of Huşi can be witnessed an unequal spread in the territory, with large surfaces lacking habitation in the central part of the depression, a high percentage of small localities (12 villages with less than 500 inhabitants) and a reduced degree of urbanization.

References

1. Băcăuanu V., Barbu N., Pantazică M., Ungureanu Al., Chiriac D. 1980. Podişul Moldovei. Natură, om, economie. Editura Ştiinţifică şi Enciclopedică, Bucureşti.
2. David M. 1921. O schiţă morfologică a Podişului sarmatic din Moldova. BSRRG, t. XXXIX.
3. David M. 1922. Cercetări geologice în Podişul Moldovenesc. AIGR, IX (1915-1920).
4. Donisă I., Lupaşcu Gh., Rusu C. 1984. Quelques aspects du relief de la partie orientale du Plateau Central Moldave, în „Analele Ştiinţifice ale Universităţii „A.I. Cuza" Iaşi, secţ. II. Ştiinţe naturale, tom XXXII.
5. Filipescu M. 1950. Îmbătrânirea prematură a reţelei hidrografice din partea sudică a Moldovei dintre Siret şi Prut şi consecinţele acestui fenomen. Natura nr. 5, Bucureşti.
6. Gugiuman I. 1932. Ţinutul Elan-Horincea. BERRG, Bucureşti.
7. Gugiuman I. 1938. Observaţii asupra modului de grupare a populaţiei în depresiunea Elan-Horincea. BSRRG, Bucureşti.
8. Gugiuman I. 1942. Valea Lohanului. RGR, Bucureşti - Cluj.
9. Gugiuman I. 1959. Depresiunea Huşi. Editura Ştiinţifică, Bucureşti.
10. Gugiuman I., Cîrcotă V., Băican V. 1973. Judeţul Vaslui. Editura Academiei Române, Bucureşti.
11. Hârjoabă I. 1968. Relieful Colinelor Tutovei. Editura Academiei R.S.R., Bucureşti.

12. Hârjoabă I. 1977. Le problème des plates-formes d'érosion dans le Plateau Moldav,. in Travaux du départ. de géogr., Fac. de Sciences, Univ. Nationale du Zaire, Lubumbashi.
13. Iaţu C. 1998. Unele consideraţii asupra dispersiei, centralităţii şi concentrării aşezărilor în Depresiunea Rădăuţilor, Lucrările Seminarului Geografic "Dimitrie Cantemir", nr. 17-18, Iaşi.
14. Ioniţă I. 1985. Consideraţii privind simetria şi asimetria unor văi din partea sudică a Podişului Moldovei, „Lucrările Seminarului de Geografie „Dimitrie Cantemir", Iaşi, nr. 5.
15. Ioniţă I. 1997. Studiul geomorfologic al degradărilor de teren din bazinul mijlociu al Bârladului. Teza de doctorat, Universitatea „Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi.
16. Ioniţă I. 2000. Relieful de cueste din Podişul Moldovei. Editura Corson, Iaşi.
17. Ioniţă I., Chelaru P., Niacşu L., Butelcă D., Andrei A. 2014. Landslide distribution and their recent development within the Central Moldavian Plateau of Romania. Carpathian Journal of Earth and Environmental Sciences, 9, 3, 241-252.
18. Martiniuc C. 1954. Geomorfologia tipurilor de pantă din regiunea Bârladului (situaţia degradărilor de teren), „Memoriile Comitetului Geologic al României" (dări de seamă), tom. XXXVIII (1950 – 1951).
19. Martiniuc C. 1955. Podişul Moldovenesc, Geografia fizică a R. P. Române, curs litografiat, Universitatea Bucureşti.
20. Mihăilescu V. 1927. O tipologie a aşezărilor româneşti. Buletinul Societăţii Române Regale de Geografie, Bucureşti.
21. Năstase Gh. 1946. Valea Prutului. Revista Geografică, Bucureşti.
22. Obreja Al. 1958. Câteva date geomorfologice asupra văii Bîrladului, Analele Şt. ale Univ. „Al. I. Cuza", tom IV, s. II., Fasc. 2, Iaşi. „Al. I. Cuza", Iași
23. Paraschiv D. 1964. În legătură cu orientarea văii Bîrladului. Natura, Geografie - Geologie, nr. 6, Bucureşti.
24. Rădoane M., Ichim I., Rădoane N., Dumitrescu Gh., Ursu C. 1996. Analiza cantitativă în geografia fizică. Ed. Univ. „Al. I. Cuza", Iaşi.
25. Rădoane M., Bucureşteanu M., Popescu T.G. 2008a. Bazinul hidrografic Prut. Editura Universităţii „ Ştefan cel Mare", Suceava.
26. Rădoane M., Rădoane N., Cristea I., Oprea D. 2008b. Evaluarea modificărilor contemporane ale albiei râului Prut pe graniţa românească. Revista de Geomorfologie, 10, 57-71.
27. Tufescu V. 1937. Odăile – o fază recentă de populare a ţinuturilor stepice de la Est de Carpaţi. Anuarul Liceului Meşotă, Braşov.
28. Tufescu V. 1942. Târguşoarele din Moldova şi importanţa lor economică. Imprimeria Naţională, Bucureşti.
29. Ţurcănaşu G. 2006. Evoluţia şi starea actuală a sistemului de aşezări din Moldova. Casa Editorială Demiurg, Iași.